

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 IAEA V 00049 01 OF 02 051548Z

53

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 EA-07 IO-10 ISO-00 CIAE-00 INR-07 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-05 EB-07 NRC-05 OES-03 FEAE-00 DODE-00

PA-01 USIA-06 PRS-01 SP-02 OIC-02 AF-06 ARA-06 NEA-10

PM-04 SS-15 SAJ-01 /124 W

----- 109805

R 051423Z JAN 76

FM USMISSION IAEA VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6871

INFO USERDA GERMANTOWN

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

C O N F I J D E N T I A L SECTION 1 OF 2 IAEA VIENNA 0049

PASS IO/SCT AND NRC

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, TECH, IAEA, GW

SUBJECT: CHAIRMANSHIP, STANDING ADVISORY GROUP ON SAFEGUARDS
IMPLEMENTATION (SAGSI)

REF: IAEA VIENNA 10493

SUMMARY: ILL-ADVISED LAST-MINUTE EFFORT BY FRG TO HAVE
GUPTA ELECTED CHAIRMAN OF SAGSI AT INITIAL MEETING DEC 8
PREDICTABLY FAILED. GUPTA IS CONCERNED THAT THIS FAILURE,
FOLLOWING HIS PREVIOUS UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDACY FOR IAEA
DIRECTOR SAFEGUARDS DEVELOPMENT DIVISION WILL BE USED TO
JUSTIFY REDUCTION BY FED MIN RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY OF
HIS AND KARLSRUHE INSTITUTE'S SAFEGUARDS WORK. ACTION
REQUESTED: AUTHORIZATION FOR EMBASSY BONN TO EXPLAIN
SITUATION TO APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF MINISTRY. END SUMMARY.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 IAEA V 00049 01 OF 02 051548Z

1. DURING ATTENDANCE BY US MEMBER SAGSI BENNETT

AT OCTOBER IAEA SAFEGUARDS SYMPOSIUM IN VIENNA, HE DISCUSSED WITH MISSION QUESTION OF POSSIBLE CHAIRMAN TO BE CHOSEN BY TEN SAGSI MEMBERS AT INITIAL MEETING DEC 8. AT THAT TIME, BENNETT MADE EFFORT SIZE UP THOSE SAGSI MEMBERS ATTENDING SYMPOSIUM, INCLUDING GUILLET (FRANCE), COSTILLO-CRUZ (MEXICO) AND ROENSCH (GDR). OF THIS GROUP, ONLY ROENSCH IMPRESSED BENNETT AS HAVING ADEQUATE UNDERSTANDING OF ISSUES LIKELY TO ARISE AND NECESSARY BACKGROUND. BENNETT ALREADY KNEW GUPTA (FRG) AND IMAI (JAPAN), NEITHER OR WHOM ATTENDED SYNPOSIUM. NEITHER HE NOR MISSION PERSONNEL KNEW HAMEL (CANADA), ALSO ABSENT, BUT BENNETT CONSIDERED THAT CANADIAN NATIONAL WOULD BE DEFINITE POSSIBILITY, IF HE WERE ALSO CAPABLE OF CHAIRING GROUP, BASED UPON TRADITIONAL STRONG CANADIAN SUPPORT FOR EFFECTIVE SAFEGUARDS.

2. AT END OF SYMPOSIUM, BENNETT ARRANGED RETURN TO VIENNA FEW DAYS PRIOR TO DEC 8 SAGSI MEETING FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION WITH MISSION OF CHAIRMAN QUESTION AND OTHER MATTERS RE MEETING. MEANWHILE, HE WAS TO SEEK INFO RE HAMEL.

3. IN MID-NOVEMBER, MISSION LEARNED THAT CANADA HAD ARRANGED WITH IAEA DIR GEN TO REPLACE HAMEL WITH JENNEKENS, WHO HAD BEEN PRINCIPAL CANADIAN EXPERT ON 1970-71 IAEA SAFEGUARDS COMMITTEE AND WHO HAD JUST BEEN GIVEN RESPONSIBILITY AGAIN FOR SAFEGUARDS IN AECB, AFTER SEVERAL YEARS ON OTHER ASSIGNMENTS. MISSION, AT THAT TIME, EXPRESSED OPINION TO CANADIAN MISSION THAT PARTICIPATION BY JENNEKENS WOULD BE WELCOMED BY BENNETT AND THAT JENNEKENS MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE CHOICE FOR CHAIRMAN. MAJOR FACTOR IN THAT ASSESSMENT WAS HISTORIC VIRTUAL COINCIDENCE OF USG AND CANADIAN VIEWS RE PRIMARY IMPORTANCE OF MAKING IAEA SAFEGUARDS EFFECTIVE AS OPPOSED TO RECORD OF EFFORTS BY FRG AND JAPAN TO MINIMIZE IAEA INSPECTION EFFORT.

4. FEW DAYS PRIOR TO BENNETT'S ARRIVAL IN VIENNA DURING FIRST WEEK DECEMBER, MISSION WAS SURPRISED BY CALL FROM FRG MISSION, INFORMING US (WITH NO PRIOR INDICATION) THAT FRG HOPED THAT GUPTA WOULD BE ELECTED CHAIRMAN OF SAGSI AND THAT HE HAD BACKING OF UK MEMBER (FRED BROWN) AND CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 IAEA V 00049 01 OF 02 051548Z

OF INDIAN AND JAPANESE MEMBERS. (IN FACT, WE UNDERSTOOD AT THAT TIME THAT BROWN'S CHOICE WAS JENNEKENS.) FRG MISOFF EXPRESSED HOPE USG WOULD ALSO SUPPORT GUPTA. WE REPLIED THAT MEMBERS SAGSI SERVE AS INDIVIDUALS, NOT RPT NOT AS GOVERNMENT REPS, BUT THAT WE WOULD BE MEETING WITH BENNETT FOLLOWING DAY AND WOULD INFORM HIM RE GUPTA CANDIDACY.

5. NEXT MORNING, WHILE BENNETT WAS AT MISSION, USSR MISSION CALLED TO INFORM US THAT USSR BELIEVED ROENSCH (GDR) WOULD BE EXCELLENT CHOICE FOR SAGSI CHAIRMAN. WE GAVE SAME RESPONSE RE DECISION BY BENNETT AS HAD BEEN GIVEN DAY BEFORE TO FRG MISSION, AND NOTED THAT THERE WERE THREE CANDIDATES AMONG TEN MEMBERS. USSR MISOFF WAS AWARE OF GUPTA CANDIDACY, BUT MADE NO COMMENT.

6. IN DISCUSSION WITH MISSION, BENNETT DECIDED THAT HE DID NOT RPT NOT WISH TO BE PLACED IN UNCOMFORTABLE POSITION OF CHOOSING BETWEEN FRG AND GDR CANDIDATES AND SINCE JENNEKEN WAS PERFECTLY SUITABLE, HE WAS BENNETT'S CHOICE. MISSION ASSESSED, FOR BENNETT, CHANCES THAT JENNEKENS COULD BE ELECTED BY CHECKING WITH UK MISSION (WHO INDICATED SUPPORT) AND WITH USSR MISSION, WHO WERE NOT RPT NOT OPPOSED, BUT WANTED ROENSCH AS VICE-CHAIRMAN OR, ALTERNATIVELY, ARRANGEMENT FOR ROTATION WITH COMMITMENT THAT ROENSCH WOULD BE NEXT IN LINE, POINTING OUT DESIRABILITY OF EAST-WEST BALANCE. WE POINTED OUT THAT NO PROVISION HAD BEEN MADE FOR VICE-CHAIRMAN OR FOR LENGTH OF TIME CHAIRMAN WOULD SERVE, IN SAGSI'S TERMS OF REFERENCE. PRESUMABLY, GROUP WOULD MAKE SUCH DECISIONS IN COURSE OF ELECTION.

7. BENNETT'S VIEW OF SITUATION WAS THAT USSR AND EE'S

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 IAEA V 00049 02 OF 02 051530Z

53

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 EA-07 IO-10 ISO-00 CIAE-00 INR-07 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-05 EB-07 NRC-05 OES-03 FEAE-00 DODE-00

PA-01 USIA-06 PRS-01 SP-02 OIC-02 AF-06 ARA-06 NEA-10

PM-04 SS-15 SAJ-01 /124 W

----- 109641

R 051423Z JAN 76

FM USMISSION IAEA VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6872

INFO USERDA GERMANTOWN
AMEMBASSY BONN
AMEMBASSY LONDON
AMEMBASSY OTTAWA
AMEMBASSY TOKYO

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 2 OF 2 IAEA VIENNA 0049

PASS IO/SCT AND NRC

ATTITUDES TOWARD EFFECTIVE IAEA SAFEGUARDS WERE SAME AS THAT OF USG AND THAT HE HAD COUNTED ON USSR AND GDR MEMBERS OF SAGSI SUPPORT FOR HIS POSITIONS IN COURSE OF SAGSI'S WORK. HE WAS ACCORDINGLY SYMPATHETIC TO ROENSCH CANDIDACY FOR VICE-CHAIRMAN, IF GROUP DECIDED TO HAVE ONE. MISOFFS POINTED OUT THAT USSR WOULD HAVE READY ARGUMENT AGAINST ANY FRG CHAIRMAN, SINCE FRG NATIONAL HAD TAKEN UP POSITION IN SEPTEMBER AS DIRECTOR OF SAFEGUARDS DEVELOPMENT DIVISION (WHICH APPOINTMENT USSR HAD VIEWED WITH MISGIVINGS) AND THAT GIVING FRG ANOTHER SIGNIFICANT POSITION OF INFLUENCE IN IAEA SAFEGUARDS WOULD BE MORE THAN MAJORITY OF SAGSI MEMBERS COULD BE EXPECTED TO ACCEPT.

8. MISSION AND BENNETT DURING COURSE DEC 4 AND 5, LET IT BE KNOWN TO CANADIAN, UK, MEXICAN, JAPANESE AND CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 IAEA V 00049 02 OF 02 051530Z

INDIAN MISSIONS THAT BENNETT WOULD FAVOR JENNEKENS AS CHAIRMAN, RATHER THAN CHOOSE BETWEEN FRG AND GDR CANDIDATES. FRENCH MISOFF CONCERNED COULD NOT BE REACHED. I ALSO INFORMED IAEA DIR GEN EKLUND OF SITUATION, INVOLVING THREE CANDIDATES, AND BEFORE I COULD OUTLINE BENNETT'S POSITION, HE STATED THAT JENNEKENS WAS OBVIOUS CHOICE. WHEN I NOTED THAT FRENCH POSITION UNKNOWN, HE UNDERTOOK TO SPEAK TO GOLDSCHMIDT ATTENDING IAEA SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING.

9. AT OPENING OF SAGSI MEETING, EKLUND PRESUMABLY ON BASIS HIS OWN SOUNDINGS OF SUPPORT FOR JENNEKENS, SAID HE UNDERSTOOD JENNEKENS WOULD BE GOOD CHOICE FOR CHAIRMAN OF FIRST MEETING. IT WAS LATER DECIDED BY SAGSI THAT JENNEKENS WOULD ALSO CHAIR NEXT MEETING, AND THAT ROTATIONAL PROCEDURE WOULD THEN BE CONSIDERED.

10. SAGSI MEMBERS GUPTA (FRG) AND IMAI (JAPAN) CALLED ON MISSION, AT GUPTA'S "URGENT REQUEST" MORNING FOLLOWING SAGSI MEETING. BENNETT WAS ALSO PRESENT. GUPTA SAID HE UNDERSTOOD US HAD "OPPOSED" HIS CANDIDACY FOR SAGSI

CHAIRMANSHIP, AND SAID IT WAS IMPORTANT FOR HIM TO KNOW REASON, WHETHER IT WAS "HIS PERSON OR HIS COUNTRY." HE EXPLAINED THAT IF HE IS VIEWED IN BONN, ON BASIS OF HIS UNSUCCESSFUL CANDIDACIES FOR SAFEGUARDS DEVELOPMENT DIVISION DIRECTOR AND FOR SAGSI CHAIRMAN, AS A LIABILITY IN DEALING WITH IAEA AFFAIRS, HE AND REST OF KARLSRUHE SAFEGUARDS EFFORT WILL NOT RECEIVE SUPPORT OR FUNDING FOR INTERNATIONAL ASPECTS OF THEIR WORK. MISOFFS AND BENNETT OUTLINED DEVELOPMENT OF COMPETING CANDIDACIES LEADING TO BENNETT'S POSITION. KEY FACTOR IN THAT POSITION WAS TO AVOID FRG-GDR CONTEST FOR CHAIRMANSHIP. MISOFFS ADDED THAT LEVEL AND INTENSITY OF US-FRG CONSULTATIONS ON SAFEGUARDS POLICY MATTERS HAD GREATLY INCREASED IN LAST YEAR, AND THAT DEGREE OF COMMON INTERESTS, VIEWS, AND POSITIONS IN SAFEGUARDS AREA WAS PROBABLY GREATER THAN IN PAST. THUS, WE WANTED TO MAKE CLEAR THAT A) SAGSI CHAIRMANSHIP WAS NOT CASE OF US "OPPOSITION" TO GUPTA OR TO FRG, AND THAT B) US DESIRED, AND PLANNED TO WORK TOWARD, US-FRG COOPERATION AND COORDINATION SAGSI AND SAFEGUARDS MATTERS IN CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 IAEA V 00049 02 OF 02 051530Z

GENERAL.

11. AS MENTIONED ABOVE, THERE HAVE BEEN DIFFERENCES BETWEEN US AND FRG POSITIONS RE IAEA SAFEGUARDS. GUPTA HAS BEEN A VIGOROUS SPOKESMAN FOR VARIOUS CONCEPTS USUALLY AIMED AT REDUCTION OF IAEA SAFEGUARDS INSPECTION EFFORT AND THAT REMAINS ONE OF MAIN THRUSTS OF FRG EFFORT (SEE REFTEL). ON OTHER HAND, THERE ARE REASONS FOR US AND FRG POSITIONS TO MOVE CLOSER TOGETHER. GUPTA IS INTELLIGENT AND EXPERIENCED IN SAFEGUARDS, AND IT IS NOT CLEAR ANOTHER FRG SPOKESMAN WOULD BE AN IMPROVEMENT. FURTHER, GUPTA IS SOMEWHAT DEPENDENT ON USG ATTITUDE TO HIM AT THIS POINT, WHICH INCREASES HIS PERSONAL STAKE IN REACHING ACCOMMODATION WITH USG POSITIONS. FINALLY, MISSION BELIEVES WE SHOULD, TO EXTENT POSSIBLE, ENCOURAGE CONTINUATION OF KARLSRUHE SAFEGUARDS TECHNICAL DEVELOPMENT WORK.

12. MISSION HAS MENTIONED GUPTA'S CONCERN RE FRG MISUNDERSTANDING TO DG EKLUND, WHO STATED HE WOULD SEND EXPLANATORY LETTER TO HAUNSCHILD OF RES AND TECH MIN, MAKING CLEAR THAT CHOICE OF SAGSI CHAIRMAN SHOULD NOT BE INTERPRETED AS REFLECTION ON GUPTA'S CAPABILITIES.

13. MISSION SUGGESTS THAT DEPARTMENT AUTHORIZE EMBASSY BONN AT APPROPRIATE EARLY OPPORTUNITY, TO MENTION TO FON MIN AND RES AND TECH MIN OFFICIALS USG DESIRE

FOR CONSULTATIONS ON SAFEGUARDS IMPLEMENTATION MATTERS,
AND TO EXPLAIN THAT GUPTA'S CANDIDACY WAS LOST CAUSE
FROM START, GIVEN SITUATION OF A) FRG NATIONAL IN ONE
OF TWO DIRECTOR POSITIONS IN SAFEGUARDS, B) GDR
CANDIDATE AND C) AVAILABILITY WELL-KNOWN AND ATTRACTIVE
THIRD CHOICE. THIS IN NO WAY WAS REFLECTION ON
GUPTA'S PERSONAL ABILITY. BECAUSE OF USSR STRONG SUPPORT
FOR ROENSCH WE EXPECT HIM TO BE CANDIDATE FOR NEXT
CHAIRMAN. FRG SHOULD NOT RPT NOT DRAW ANY CONCLUSIONS
CONCERNING GUPTA'S REPUTATION OR EFFECTIVENESS IN EVENT
ROENSCH IS SUCCESSFUL.LABOWITZ

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: MEETING CHAIRMAN, ELECTION CANDIDATES, MEETING PROCEEDINGS, NUCLEAR SAFEGUARDS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 05 JAN 1976
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: SmithRJ
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976IAEAV00049
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D760002-0880
From: IAEA VIENNA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760187/aaaaczet.tel
Line Count: 287
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION ACDA
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 6
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 IAEA VIENNA 10493
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: SmithRJ
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 23 MAR 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <23 MAR 2004 by CollinP0>; APPROVED <30 JUL 2004 by SmithRJ>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: CHAIRMANSHIP, STANDING ADVISORY GROUP ON SAFEGUARDS IMPLEMENTATION (SAGSI)
TAGS: PARM, TECH, GE, IAEA, SAGSI
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006